

ENTERED

October 02, 2019

David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
GALVESTON DIVISION

LJUBICA CAMPBELL

Plaintiff.

VS.

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF
CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:18-CV-00003

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION**

On August 23, 2019, Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 32) was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Andrew M. Edison pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). *See* Dkt. 42. On September 5, 2019, Judge Edison filed a Memorandum and Recommendation (Dkt. 44) recommending that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 32) be **GRANTED** and this suit be **DISMISSED**.

The Court granted Plaintiff two extensions to file her objections. On September 25, 2019, Plaintiff filed a document titled Second Request for Extension to File Plaintiff's Rule 72b Objections. *See* Dkt. 49. Notwithstanding the title of the document, Plaintiff goes on to identify her various objections to Judge Edison's Memorandum and Recommendation. *See id.* The Court, therefore, construes Plaintiff's September 25th filing (Dkt. 49) as her Objections.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge's] report or specified


proposed findings or recommendations to which objection [has been] made.” After conducting this de novo review, the Court may “accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” *Id.*; *see also* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3).

The Court has carefully considered the Objections; the Memorandum and Recommendation; the pleadings; and the record. The Court **ACCEPTS** Judge Edison’s Memorandum and Recommendation and **ADOPTS** it as the opinion of the Court. It is therefore **ORDERED** that:

- (1) Judge Edison’s Memorandum and Recommendation (Dkt. 44) is **APPROVED AND ADOPTED** in its entirety as the holding of the Court; and
- (2) Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 32) is **GRANTED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED and ENTERED this 2nd day of October, 2019.



JEFFREY VINCENT BROWN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE